



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,919	08/18/2003	Dawn V. Muyres	58434US002	3648
32692	7590	02/27/2009	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			SUCH, MATTHEW W	
PO BOX 33427				
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2891	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com  
LegalDocketing@mmm.com

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/642,919	MUYRES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MATTHEW W. SUCH	2891

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matthew W. Such (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Hal Knecht (Reg. No. 35,576). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposals for drawings to overcome objections set forth in Office Action 26 December 2008. A drawing showing the sealing layer covering the entire transistor and another drawing showing the flow of claimed method steps would be sufficient. The examiner notes that such changes provisionally do not introduce new matter (unless the drawings disclose further subject matter not already described in the Applicant's disclosure).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Matthew W. Such/ Examiner, Art Unit 2891	
--	--